



## Additional meeting of the IATI Governing Board

Wednesday 8 June, 2016

---

### Attendees:

**(Governing Board)** Monowar Ahmed (Bangladesh); Sarah Johns (Bond); Stephen Potter, Yohanna Loucheur (Canada); Rupert Simons (Publish What You Fund); Timothy Takona (UNICEF);

**(IATI Secretariat)** Carolyn Culey (Development Initiatives); Annelise Parr (UNDP), Argjira Belegu-Shuku (UNOPS)

### Minutes:

This brief additional meeting was scheduled to review the status of preparation ahead of the upcoming MA meeting.

### MA Agenda

No feedback has been received from members on the draft agenda. The Board agreed to take a further look by Friday and agree on roles of specific board members and required resources for each session. The latest version is v.6 in Yammer.

### Vision and Mission paper

Consultation with members closed on Monday and the Secretariat is working to address all feedback received. It will be challenging to make sure all commentators agree with the final version, though important nonetheless to try whilst avoiding that the final recommendations become a lengthy laundry list to try and accommodate all feedback.

- **Action:** Secretariat will develop a third option based on feedback received from members, and present this together with the option preferred overall (totalling two options). Two options will therefore be presented in a paper that details the process followed and rationale, together with a recommendation from the Board for one of those two options. This should be as clear and concise as possible. (Annelise, by Friday).

### Strategic Direction

- **Action:** Process as above (Carolyn, by Friday)

### Membership fee recommendation

The sub-group of the Board has worked on an updated paper presenting three options. Option 1 is not considered a strong option and included as justification as to why it should not be selected. Preference of the Board is for Options 2 or 3, and members agreed to consult within their constituencies for views on what would be acceptable. If views are received before next Tuesday they can be included in the package of papers to be circulated to members on Wednesday. If not, this paper can go without a specific Board recommendation and it can follow later. There was

nonetheless support for clearly tying the funding model with the workplan, adding further detail on what could or could not be delivered with budgets of different scales.

- **Action:** Secretariat will propose additional language requested from both tech viewpoint (specific items that would not be deliverable with a reduced budget) and on analysis/proposal on a sliding scale (included in Option 3). This additional language forms part of the membership fee conversation but also comes in to the workplan discussion, and should therefore be presented as an addendum to the membership fee paper. (Tuesday latest)

### **Workplan & Budget papers**

It will be key to ensure and clearly show that the workplan reflects the strategic direction and key elements of the vision and mission consultation. There is no requirement to prepare an alternative workplan at this point as adjustments will be needed based on prioritisation discussions that will take place at the MA itself. Members should receive enough information about the implications of their decision on the funding model.

- **Action:** Secretariat will develop an addendum paper that shows in a neutral manner what elements of the strategic direction could not be undertaken without the proposed adjustment in membership fee. (Input from all Secretariat). Secretariat will also review and ensure that the workplan fully reflects proposed strategic direction. (Tuesday).

### **Other business**

- Updates on status of preparation and papers to be shared on Yammer, and a further call to be scheduled if needed.